

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Copyright</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Foreword (<i>Geraldine O'Neill and Sylvia Huntley-Moore</i>)</b>	<b>4</b>
Context of the assessment project . . . . .	4
The organisation of the case studies . . . . .	5
Networks . . . . .	6
Bibliography . . . . .	6
Appendix A: Range of assessment methods used . . . . .	7
Appendix B: Disciplines and countries represented in the cases . . . . .	8
<b>Introduction: Assessment in Crisis? (<i>Phil Race</i>)</b>	<b>9</b>
The terminology of assessment . . . . .	12
Validity . . . . .	12
Reliability . . . . .	12
Transparency . . . . .	13
Authenticity . . . . .	14
More assessment terminology: norm-referenced, criterion-referenced, formative, summative. . . . .	14
Norm-referenced versus criterion-referenced . . . . .	14
Summative and formative assessment . . . . .	15
Learning from experience . . . . .	15
Bibliography . . . . .	15
<b>1 Examining Students' Clinical Skills: Assessment of the 'Unseen' Client in a Speech and Language Therapy Clinic (<i>Irene Walsh, Kathleen McTiernan and Margaret Leahy</i>)</b>	<b>16</b>
1.1 Context . . . . .	16
1.1.1 Teaching and learning methods . . . . .	16
1.2 Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	17
1.3 Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	17
1.3.1 Overview . . . . .	17
1.3.2 Details given to the student . . . . .	18
1.3.3 Expectations of student performance . . . . .	18
1.4 Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	18
1.4.1 Strengths . . . . .	18

1.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	19
1.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	19
1.5.1	Students' responses to the assessment . . . . .	19
1.6	Bibliography . . . . .	20
<b>2</b>	<b>Students' Continuous Assessment through Discussion Threads within Blended Teaching Method (face-to-face and e-learning) (<i>Eleni Mangina</i>)</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1	Context . . . . .	21
2.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	22
2.2.1	Broad-based learning outcome . . . . .	22
2.2.2	Learning objectives . . . . .	22
2.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	22
2.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	23
2.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	23
2.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	23
2.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	23
2.6	Bibliography . . . . .	24
<b>3</b>	<b>The Use of Reflective Research Journals in a 1<sup>st</sup> Year Information Literacy Module (<i>Claire McGuinness</i>)</b>	<b>26</b>
3.1	Context . . . . .	26
3.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	27
3.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	28
3.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	29
3.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	29
3.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	29
3.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	29
3.6	Bibliography . . . . .	30
<b>4</b>	<b>Applying a Criterion and Standards Approach to Assessment by Examination in Law (<i>Clair Hughes, Russell Hinchy and Clare Cappa</i>)</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1	Context . . . . .	32
4.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	32
4.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	32
4.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	34
4.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	34
4.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	34
4.5	Contributors' Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	34
4.5.1	The Lecturers' perspective . . . . .	34
4.5.2	The Subject Coordinator's perspective . . . . .	35
4.5.3	The Educational Consultant's perspective . . . . .	35
4.6	Bibliography . . . . .	36
<b>5</b>	<b>The Use of Assessment in Study Skills Development (<i>Orna Ryan</i>)</b>	<b>37</b>
5.1	Context . . . . .	37
5.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	38
5.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	39

5.3.1	Submission 1 . . . . .	39
5.3.2	Submission 2 . . . . .	39
5.3.3	Submission 3 . . . . .	40
5.3.4	Submission 4 . . . . .	40
5.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	40
5.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	40
5.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	40
5.5	Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	40
5.6	Bibliography . . . . .	41
	Appendix A: Sample of Student Comments on Module and Assessment . . . . .	42
<b>6</b>	<b>Task Oriented Online Learning (TOOL)—Social Interaction in an Online Environment</b> ( <i>Seamus Fox and Elaine Walsh</i> )	<b>43</b>
6.1	Context . . . . .	43
6.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	44
6.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	46
6.3.1	First assignment period . . . . .	46
6.3.2	Second assignment period . . . . .	46
6.3.3	Third assignment period . . . . .	46
6.3.4	Monitoring and equity of marking . . . . .	47
6.3.5	Aggregation of assessments . . . . .	47
6.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	47
6.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	47
6.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	47
6.5	Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	48
6.5.1	Student reaction . . . . .	48
6.5.2	External examiner’s reaction . . . . .	48
6.5.3	Module co-ordinators’ reaction . . . . .	48
6.6	Bibliography . . . . .	49
<b>7</b>	<b>Where are the Examinations? Using Learning Journals in Mathematics Assessment</b> ( <i>Michael Lanigan</i> )	<b>51</b>
7.1	Context . . . . .	51
7.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	52
7.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	52
7.3.1	The benefits of journals . . . . .	54
7.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	57
7.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	57
7.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	58
7.5	Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	59
7.6	Bibliography . . . . .	60
7.7	Useful Resources . . . . .	60
<b>8</b>	<b>Web-based Electronic Annotation and Rapid Feedback for Computer Science Programming Exercises</b> ( <i>Fintan J. Costello</i> )	<b>61</b>
8.1	Context . . . . .	61
8.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	62

8.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	62
8.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	63
8.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	63
8.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	63
8.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	63
8.6	Useful Resources . . . . .	63
<b>9</b>	<b>On the Use of Multiple Class Test Assessments to Promote and Encourage Student Learning (<i>Séamus McLoone</i>)</b>	<b>64</b>
9.1	Context . . . . .	64
9.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	65
9.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	65
9.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	65
9.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	65
9.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	66
9.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	66
9.6	Bibliography . . . . .	67
<b>10</b>	<b>Teaching Portfolio (<i>Roisin Donnelly</i>)</b>	<b>68</b>
10.1	Context . . . . .	68
10.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	68
10.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	70
10.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	71
10.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	71
10.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	71
10.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	72
10.6	Bibliography . . . . .	72
<b>11</b>	<b>Multiple Approaches to Reflection as a Key Component of Assessment (<i>Justin Rami, John Lalor and Gerry McNamara</i>)</b>	<b>73</b>
11.1	Context . . . . .	73
11.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	74
11.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	74
11.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	78
11.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	78
11.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	78
11.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	78
11.6	Bibliography . . . . .	79
<b>12</b>	<b>Learning to Do by Doing: Understanding Observation Methods through Participation (<i>Crystal Fulton</i>)</b>	<b>80</b>
12.1	Context . . . . .	80
12.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	81
12.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	81
12.3.1	Class preparation for the assessment . . . . .	81
12.3.2	Individual assessment . . . . .	82
12.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	82

12.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	82
12.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	83
12.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	83
12.5.1	Student reactions . . . . .	83
12.5.2	Transferring to other contexts . . . . .	83
12.5.3	Advice to instructors . . . . .	83
12.5.4	Validity/reliability issues . . . . .	84
12.5.5	Resource implications . . . . .	84
12.6	Bibliography . . . . .	84
<b>13</b>	<b>Using Peer and Self-assessment Practices to Assess Written Tasks (<i>Rosario Hernández</i>)</b>	<b>85</b>
13.1	Context . . . . .	85
13.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	85
13.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	86
13.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	87
13.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	87
13.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	87
13.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	87
13.6	Bibliography . . . . .	88
<b>14</b>	<b>Reflection as a Method of Assessment in Paediatric Palliative Care Education (<i>Jayne Price and Patricia McNeilly</i>)</b>	<b>90</b>
14.1	Context . . . . .	90
14.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	91
14.2.1	Aim . . . . .	91
14.2.2	Module Objectives . . . . .	91
14.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	91
14.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	92
14.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	92
14.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	92
14.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	92
14.6	Bibliography . . . . .	93
	Appendix A: Model of Reflection for Children's Palliative Care . . . . .	94
<b>15</b>	<b>Creative Methods and Critical Reflection (<i>Paul McIntosh, Claire Webb, and Paul Keenan</i>)</b>	<b>95</b>
15.1	Context . . . . .	95
15.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	96
15.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	97
15.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	97
15.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	97
15.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	97
15.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	98
15.6	Bibliography . . . . .	98
15.7	Useful Resources . . . . .	98

<b>16 The Use of Learning Journals in Assessment (<i>Alison Farrell</i>)</b>	<b>99</b>
16.1 Context . . . . .	99
16.2 Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	100
16.3 Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	100
16.4 Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	101
16.4.1 Strengths . . . . .	101
16.4.2 Limitations . . . . .	101
16.5 Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	102
16.5.1 Initial concerns regarding using journals for assessment . . . . .	102
16.5.2 General comments on the process . . . . .	102
16.5.3 Application to larger groups . . . . .	102
16.5.4 Pleasant surprises . . . . .	102
16.5.5 Planning for future use of journals . . . . .	103
16.5.6 Final words . . . . .	103
16.6 Bibliography . . . . .	103
<b>17 SPEL—Student Passport for E-Learning: an Integrated Approach to Assessment (<i>Francesca Lorenzi and Kay MacKeogh</i>)</b>	<b>104</b>
17.1 Context . . . . .	104
17.2 Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	105
17.2.1 Assessment procedures/details . . . . .	107
17.3 Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	107
17.3.1 Strengths . . . . .	107
17.3.2 Limitations . . . . .	107
17.4 Contributors’ Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	108
17.5 Bibliography . . . . .	109
<b>18 All for One and One for All or Every Student for Themselves? Using Group Posters in the Assessment of the Sociology of Health and Illness (<i>Sylvia Huntley-Moore and Maria Lohan</i>)</b>	<b>111</b>
18.1 Context . . . . .	111
18.2 Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	112
18.3 Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	113
18.3.1 Summative elements . . . . .	113
18.3.2 Formative elements . . . . .	113
18.4 Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	113
18.5 Bibliography . . . . .	115
<b>19 The ‘Triple Jump’ Assessment in Problem Based Learning: an Evaluative Method Used in the Appraisal of both Knowledge Acquisition and Problem Solving Skills (<i>Kathleen McTiernan, Margaret Leahy, Irene Walsh, Pauline Sloane and Martine Smith</i>)</b>	<b>116</b>
19.1 Context . . . . .	116
19.2 Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	117
19.3 Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	118
19.3.1 First stage . . . . .	118
19.3.2 Second stage . . . . .	118

19.3.3	Third stage . . . . .	118
19.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	118
19.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	118
19.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	118
19.5	Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	119
19.6	Bibliography . . . . .	119
<b>20</b>	<b>Peer Assessment of Problem Based Learning—Fostering Reflective Practice in Social Work Students (<i>Maeve Foreman</i>)</b>	<b>120</b>
20.1	Context . . . . .	120
20.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	121
20.2.1	Health related social work . . . . .	121
20.2.2	Learning outcomes . . . . .	121
20.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	121
20.3.1	Peer assessment . . . . .	121
20.3.2	The Process . . . . .	122
20.3.3	Assessment criteria . . . . .	122
20.3.4	Problem based learning . . . . .	123
20.3.5	Instructions to the class . . . . .	123
20.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	124
20.4.1	Strengths of peer assessment . . . . .	124
20.4.2	Limitations of peer assessment . . . . .	124
20.5	Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	125
20.6	Bibliography . . . . .	125
	Appendix A: Sample Grid . . . . .	126
	Health Related Social Work, Masters’ In Social Work, Year Two: ‘Virtual’ Cases—Agreed Peer Assessment Criteria . . . . .	126
	Appendix B: Sample Cases . . . . .	127
	Case 1 . . . . .	127
	Case 2 . . . . .	127
	Case 3 . . . . .	127
	Group Task . . . . .	128
<b>21</b>	<b>Open Book Assessments and Quizzes in Statistics (<i>Joan Cleary</i>)</b>	<b>129</b>
21.1	Context . . . . .	129
21.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	130
21.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	130
21.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	131
21.4.1	Laboratory Assessment . . . . .	131
21.4.2	Quizzes . . . . .	131
21.5	Contributor’s Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	131
21.6	Bibliography . . . . .	133
<b>22</b>	<b>Three Stages of Apprenticing Students into Portfolio Ownership (<i>Juliana Chau</i>)</b>	<b>134</b>
22.1	Context . . . . .	134
22.1.1	What do students include in their portfolio? . . . . .	135

22.1.2	Will the portfolio carry any weighting in assessment? . . . . .	136
22.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	136
22.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	138
22.3.1	Significance of the Checklists . . . . .	140
22.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	140
22.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	140
22.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	140
22.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	140
22.6	Bibliography . . . . .	141
Appendix A	. . . . .	142
Portfolio checklist for students	. . . . .	142
<b>23</b>	<b>Life after University: Assessing Final-year Environmental Science Students to Ensure Success in a Research Workplace (<i>Debra Panizzon</i>)</b>	<b>143</b>
23.1	Context . . . . .	143
23.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	144
23.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	144
23.3.1	Assignment 1: Development of a research proposal . . . . .	145
23.3.2	Assignment 2: Seminar involving a presentation of findings . . . . .	145
23.3.3	Assignment 3: Written research report (Summative) . . . . .	145
23.3.4	Assignment 4: Examination . . . . .	145
23.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	146
23.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	146
23.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	146
23.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	146
23.6	Acknowledgement . . . . .	147
23.7	Bibliography . . . . .	147
<b>24</b>	<b>Engaging with the Standards: Using Feed-forward and Feedback (<i>Ian Cathers</i>)</b>	<b>148</b>
24.1	Context . . . . .	148
24.2	Learning Outcomes being Assessed . . . . .	148
24.3	Assessment Procedures/Details . . . . .	149
24.3.1	The Students . . . . .	149
24.3.2	The Staff . . . . .	149
24.4	Strengths and Limitations . . . . .	149
24.4.1	Strengths . . . . .	149
24.4.2	Limitations . . . . .	149
24.5	Contributor's Reflections on the Assessment . . . . .	149
24.6	Bibliography . . . . .	150
Appendix A	. . . . .	151